


How students evaluated I-WEEK 2026

This questionnaire summarizes student feedback on the 2026 International Week courses. The goal is to better understand participants' experiences with course content, teaching quality, organisation, and overall satisfaction. The insights collected help us continuously improve the structure, topics, and delivery of future I-WEEK programs.


Summary:

*Students generally evaluated the I-WEEK courses **very positively**, highlighting the lecturers' **strong expertise, clear explanations, and engaging, practical teaching style**. Overall, the content was considered **meaningful, well-structured**, and enriched by **real-life examples** and the presence of foreign lecturers. Some comments pointed out minor challenges, such as occasional **monotone delivery** or unexpected **course changes**, but these did not significantly affect the overall positive perception. Students also appreciated **the smooth organisation** and shared suggestions for further improving clarity of information and expanding the offer of technical and data-focused topics.*


How would you rate **the quality of the course** content? (1 - Very insufficient to 5 – Excellent)



How would you rate **the intensity of the course** (time intensity, length of individual blocks)? (1 – Too demanding to 5 – Too easy)




Did you like **the three-day block teaching** arrangement, or would you prefer a different structure?



Who answered Other

- Students found the professor’s monotone delivery challenging.
- The schedule felt too intense; spreading lectures across more days is preferred.
- Many would like more (but shorter) lecture days, as there is a lot to learn.
- Long daily sessions made it hard to absorb the material.


How would you describe **the guest teacher's approach** during the lesson?




What **attracted you most** about the course (content, teaching style, foreign lecturer, interactivity etc.)?

- Appreciation for lecturers' expertise and clear explanations
- Positive reception of interactive, practical, and hands-on teaching
- Course content viewed as meaningful, well-structured, and suitable for an intensive format
- Foreign lecturers perceived as an added value
- Some disappointment due to unexpected course replacement
- Occasional criticism of monotone delivery
- Strong value placed on practical relevance, real-life examples, and project work
- Varied motivations, but overall recognition of course quality


How many times have you **participated in I-WEEK?**




How **satisfied** were you with the organisation?



4.31
Average Rating
★ ★ ★ ★ ☆



Why did you **register to International Week** courses?



What **topics** should we offer next year?

Data Analytics & Data Visualization

Programming & Technical Skills (Python, coding, LLMs, automation)

Artificial Intelligence & Machine Learning

Cybersecurity & Blockchain

Business, Economics & Management Topics

Cloud Computing & ICT Topics

Do you have **further comments** for the International Week Team?

Positive Feedback

- Excellent organization
- Great work and support from the International Week team
- High appreciation for international lecturers
- Enjoyable, smooth, and well-executed event
- Students were satisfied and grateful for the opportunity

Suggestions

- Improve clarity and structure of information (Moodle, daily schedule, requirements, room details)
- Ensure classroom equipment works properly in advance
- Offer more courses in Data Analytics, Machine Learning, ICT, programming
- Add small comforts such as snacks and drinks

Thank you to all students who took the time to share their opinions. Your feedback is highly valued and will guide the development of next year's International Week, helping us refine the course offer, enhance the learning experience, and maintain the high quality of our international teaching environment.