

DSP AI PhD survival guide

Vojtěch Svátek

Tutorial held as part of the Applied Informatics PhD Meetup, AIPM'20





Approximate schedule

- 14:00-15:15 Tutorial incl. discussion
- 15:30-17:00 "Workshop": sharing of experiences, suggestions for DSP organization, collaboration proposals, etc.

Topics to be (hopefully) covered

- General picture: where DSP AI thematically fits
- Official duties and milestones
- Informal hints
- DSP AI figures, community topics, etc.

 Note: all in English, but I will try to align the English terms with the Czech ones (remind me if it is not the case!)

Thesis topic clusters

- Mostly correspond to the topics of interest of the departments
 - KIT: Business/Data Analytics, IT Management, SW Development, ...
 - KSA: IT Security/Audit, Social Informatics/Networks, ...
 - KIZI: Data Mining, Semantic Web, Web Engineering, ...
 - KME: Multimedia Communication, ...
- In FORD categories,¹ ranged under:
 - 1. Natural Sciences 1.2 Computer and information sciences
 - 5. Social Sciences 5.2 Economics and Business
 - 5. Social Sciences 5.8 Media and communications
 - sometimes also other, e.g., health sciences...

Vs. ACM Computing Curricula etc.

- Cf. https://www.acm.org/education/curricula-recommendations
- Some (applied) Computer Science
 - Technological topics, such as data mining or semantic web
- Most often Information Systems (IS)
 - Sometimes mixed with Software Engineering
 - Sometimes mixed with Information Technology (IT)
 - Going from business through people to technology, wrapped for specific cases
- Occasionally also: (Library and) Information Science, or Media Studies
- In Europe, esp. German-speaking: IT+IS=BISE, Business Information & System Engineering, see e.g. the journal: http://www.bise-journal.com/

Challenges in BISE research

- By Leitmeister et al.:¹
 - Relevance Versus Rigor
 - Company- Versus University-Based
 - Interdisciplinary Versus Disciplinary

DSP AI: relevance (and some rigor)

- Traditionally, DSP AI more biased towards relevance than towards rigor
 - Most PhDs have part- or full-time jobs in industry
- While the relevance is our asset, we ought to (slightly) pressure on rigor, too... industrial relevance alone is not enough for a PhD
 - Even a lightweight but coherent methodological grounding helps lift the publications to good journals or conferences
 - Situation in BISE, Western Europe: "The necessary theoretical rigor is a prerequisite for earning the doctorate degree. It is taught throughout the PhD program and indirectly enforced through the publication system of our top conferences and journals." (Leitmeister et al.)
 - ... this will be enforced to us from outside, sooner or later

DSP AI: lagging behind corporates?

- To some degree holds both for technological fields and business-oriented fields (e.g.,)
 - SotA tools for well-defined tasks, e.g., data mining or NLP, now often developed by research units of big companies; partly via acquiring tech startups
 - 'Soft artifacts' such as IT governance frameworks constantly evolving by effort of professional associations
- Attempts to cooperate with corporate research units and startups – partial success
 - Model of 'joint doctorate' yet to be refined and tested

DSP AI: interdisciplinarity

- Networking of students working on different topics highly encouraged
 - Role of IGA projects, meetups, etc.
 - Even across departments
- Faculty-wide interdisciplinarity
 - Program of pairing the AI and "statistics" PhDs

Official milestones

- Nowadays nearly all listed as 'subjects' in INSIS
 - Project defense end of Y1
 - Partial exams two (at least) in Y1, all in Y2
 - Possibility to choose the subjects... but not completely freely, check the accreditation doc
 - State doctoral exam (SDE) end of Y3 at the latest
 - Discussion on two chosen topic areas + on the thesis project
 - 'Small' defense end of Y4 (at the latest)
 - Organized within the 'home' department
 - Usually one reviewer
 - (Final) defense
 - 2-3 reviewers, mostly from outside FIS

Exam/defense caveats

- Exams: usually not very hard, but <u>take some</u> <u>time</u>
 - The examiners mostly expect you to read some literature and give a presentation and/or write an essay/paper
- Small defense: the thesis should be <u>complete</u> (aside very minor corrections/gaps)

Associated publication requirements

- In order to apply for:
 - State Doctoral Exam
 - Final Defense
- The fulfilment of the requirements is neither easy nor simple to gauge!
 - See comments later

Other formal requirements (1)

- Presentation at "Den doktorandů" (ako contest)
 - Once within the first 3 years
- Presentation before the DSP Advisory Board ("oborová rada")
 - 3rd semester
 - Ako follow-up to the project defense not about passing or failing, but about collecting feedback, mainly from experts <u>outside</u> VŠE

Other formal requirements (2)

- International collaboration
 - Longer-term internship (preferred)
 - OR Participation in an international project
 - OR Direct bi-lateral collaboration, typically witnessed by joint paper/s
 - OR Another kind of direct collaboration (?)
- The partner should be a university, research institute, or possibly a corporate <u>research</u> unit

Requirements - "technicalities"

- Preparation of the Individual Study Plan (ISP)
 - Beginning of the study usually September
 - By the advisor, jointly with you (usually F2F)
 - Plan for exams, but also (informally) publications, internships, etc.
- Preparation of the topic description ("zadání") in INSIS
 - End of the first <u>semester</u> similar as for Bc or Mgr
 - By the advisor... but needs input from you
- Preparation of the annual Study report ("Zpráva o průběhu…")
 - End of every academic year
 - By the advisor... but needs input from you

Publication requirements: caveats

- Completely different schemes (but also subtle deviations) valid for different entrance years of students
- Evaluation of PhD student publication now coupled with the methodology of evaluating the research at the FIS departments as such
- The recognition of publication depends on the indexing of journals/conferences in citation DBs

Scheme for entrance until 2016 (incl.)

- Only 9 students by now
- For the SDE
 - 1 peer-reviewed publication of any kind
- For the defense alternatives
 - 2 peer-reviewed journal article + 1 conference paper presented in English
 - 3 peer-reviewed journal articles
 - 1 article/paper indexed in WoS + 1 conference paper presented in English

Scheme for entrance in 2017 or later

- 2 combined types of requirements
 - Some amount of points according to the FIS research evaluation methodology ("Zásady hodnocení publikační činnosti...") – the version valid in the entrance year
 - https://fis.vse.cz/tvurci-cinnost/informace/publikacni-cinnost-fis/ (unsure if an English version exists...)
 - For the defense admission only: 1 article in a journal indexed in WoS or Scopus; the PhD student's share on it must be at least 1/3
 - The points for this article do count for the first requirement, too

Heuristics for the new system

- When you have the (WoS/Scopus) journal article, the points are no longer a problem
- Getting the points for the SDE is tough, as few students already have a journal article accepted; usual workarounds:
 - Thematic workshops affiliated to major conferences (proceedings published in the CEUR-WS.org – usually indexed by Scopus)
 - PhD workshops affiliated to major conferences (proceedings published in the CEUR-WS.org usually indexed by Scopus)
 - Local (WoS/Scopus-indexed) conferences, e.g., https://idimt.org/, some other Czech universities also organize one
 - Most recently: FIS also has its Scopus-indexed informatics journal: https://aip.vse.cz/
- E.g., 3x 50% authorship of an (indexed) conference paper roughly suffices for applying for the SDE
- Ask the advisors (they should know the rules these apply to them, too), but double-check, and also feel free to ask me!

Beyond the pragmatic heuristics

- Risk of prematurely changing your research outcome to 'small coins'
 - If you publish your material piecewise in local venues (even if indexed), there might not remain enough for a major (usually, journal) article
 - (However, it of course make sense to submit your very first paper to a less demanding venue, just to learn the basic paper writing craft and get acquainted with the review proces procedure.)
- Recommended "bold" strategy for those who think that their idea has a *strong potential*:
 - Submit to a good journal (say, Q2+) in the 2nd year at the latest
 - You typically get a "reject"... and, excellent (though rather critical) feedback
 - Based on the reaction, consider whether to
 - Keep revising and resubmitting to this or another good journal
 - Reducing the ambitions, going to a less prestigious journal (say, Q3), most likely succeeding thanks to the feedback obtained from the good journal
- Note: Q1... Q4 refers to the quartile ranges of journals in the biblio database ranking, e.g., Q1 contains the best ¼ of journals in the ranking
 - The metrics used for the ranking are typically AIS or IF (impact factor) for WoS, and SJR for Scopus

Concerns related to publishers

- Beware the *predatory* publishers
 - Some list false "impact factors"
 - Some may even be truly Scopus-indexed
 - Not always easy to tell apart from solid publishers... but publishing there may give rise to doubts about the quality of your research
 - Check portals such as https://beallslist.net/
- Be aware of the *length* of the publishing process in journals
 - A common pattern may be: 1st submission in late Y2, revision/resubmission in Y3, acceptance in Y4, published after the defense
- Be aware of the *article processing charges* (APC) in Open Access (OA) journals
 - Can be covered from grant projects
 - However, the project is usually over when the publication eventually comes out!
 - FIS policy on such cases is yet to be set up?
- Depending on the quality of your English, papers to most good journals might have to undergo a copy-editing proces
 - Also can be covered from grant projects

Co-authorship

- A tough issue in general...
- Historically, a PhD student had been expected to have at least some publications as the sole author, but nowadays it is not the case
- It is advisable to have some joint publication with the advisor, however, it is not mandatory to include the advisor as co-author to every publication; thus a suggestion:
 - Of course, the advisor should be co-author of all publications where his/her contribution is significant
 - For the publications where the advisor only contributed by an occasional feedback, pick one where this feedback is most extensive or important (even the feedback effort cumulatively represents a decent amount of the advisor's working time...)
- In Applied Informatics, the order of the authors matters
 - ...even if some authorities claim the opposite

More technicalities

- If you are supported by a grant or similar resource, check if/how the acknowledgment to it is to be included in the paper before it is published
- Don't forget to register your publications in the VŠE database, http://pcvse.vse.cz/ once they come out
 - At some departments (at least, KIT): hand them to the secretary
 - At others: usually the advisor will help you
 - Do not forget to associate the publication with the grants there, too
 - In PCVSE you can also find the quartile ranges, for any journal, with respect to the different FORD categories

Top-on funding options

- Most widespread instrument: IGA projects
 - For teams typically led by a PhD student (or led by a teacher, but containing PhD students)
 - Now to be augmented with IGA/A "elite" projects with more stress on international excellence (support by the current ESIF grant)
- POKR: Program of Personal and Qualification Growth
 - For individuals; funding based on commitment to journal publications (Q3+)
- Posterior stipends for published articles
- Doktorand 4.0: individual elite program for true full-time students
 - Decent funding, but requires commitment to articles in Q2+ journals
- Various external grant projects by the advisors or other members of departments
 - GAČR, TAČR, MŠMT, other ministries, etc.
- Dedicated support of interships, summer schools, etc., see a catalog:
 - https://veda.vse.cz/podpora-vedy/katalog-podpor/katalog-podpor-2020/

DSP AI: basic facts

- 27 students + 8 accepted candidates = 35
- Of these, 4 in the English branch
- 15 active advisors
 - + 2 standalone, with vacancies
- About 6-7 consultants (some not yet official)
- DSP internally accredited till 2029

DSP AI community

- Meetups
 - AIPM (Spring/Summer)
 - AINA (Autumn)
 - ... slides from the 2019 edition now also made available on the intranet, sorry for the delay
 - Den doktorandů ("Day of doctoral students", February): faculty-wide
- https://www.linkedin.com/groups/8437660
 - now rather sleeping, feel free to share your news/findings

Questions / comments?